* Articles in the Journal sourcing, marketing market segments, finance timely, in-depth analysis of Financing are intended of Equipment Lease to ofter responsible, something important not shunned. If you have the field. Controversy is and current research in and sales opportunities, 202.238.3400 educational journal, call industry's most valuable to be published in the to say and would like laws regulatory issues, liability management, tax

The Equipment Leasing & Finance Foundation1825 K Street NW
Suite 900
Washington, DC 20006
202.238.3400

EDITORIAL BOARD

2 > 2 0 Z ດ

VOLUME 33 . NUMBER 3 . FALL 2015

Equipment Finance Market Forecasting

By Blake Reuter

It is often assumed, but has never really been med, that capital equipment spending (capex) is a driver of equipment finance volume. This article helps validate that assumption and, furthermore, demonstrates that equipment finance volume can be forecasted over the short term using capex and statistical regression techniques.

TRAC Vehicle Leasing

By Edwin E. Huddleson

cars and trucks to commercial end-users. Occasionally, criticism and litigation still challenge rationale for the TRAC/state laws and demonstrates that the majority of court decisions now the true lease status of vehicle leases. This article summarizes the legal and public policy Terminal rental adjustment clause (TRAC) vehicle leasing is the most popular means of leasing recognize the true lease character of these transactions.

Equipment ABS Today: New, Improved!

By Stephen T. Whelan

growth of equipment asset-backed securitization. Securitization of equipment leases and loans is on the upswing. Transaction volume has Based on a recent Foundation study, this article evaluates some potential threats to continued jumped over the last two calendar years. Moreover, delinquency performance has improved





TRAC Vehicle Leasing

By Edwin E. Huddleson

decisions now recognize end-users. Occasionally TRAC) vehicle leasing is the most popular means the true lease character and demonstrates that criticism and litigation of these transactions the TRAC/state laws trucks to commercia the majority of cour the legal and public policy rationales for of leasing cars and vehicle leases. This article summarizes true lease status o still challenge the adjustment clause Terminal rental

Treasury/IRS rulings in the 1980s and a few recent court decisions held that motor vehicle leases containing terminal rental adjustment clauses (TRACs) should be treated as sales rather than true leases. ¹ Those old precedents denied TRAC owner/lessors the tax benefits of ownership, threatened their commercial law remedies,² and diminished their rights of recovery if the TRAC lessee went into bankruptcy.³

Times have changed. With Congress's enactment of 26 U.S.C. §7701(h) in 1983 and the recent enactment of TRAC/ state laws in all the states, there should no longer be any doubt that TRAC vehicle leases are true leases.

Not everyone agrees with this conclusion, however. Occasionally, criticism and litigation still attack the true lease status of TRAC vehicle leases. To address these disputes, this

article summarizes the legal and public policy bases for the TRAC/state laws, shows the shortcomings of criticisms challenging the true lease character of TRAC vehicle leases, points out the overwhelming majority of court decisions that now recognize the true lease status of these vehicle leasing transactions, and lists the TRAC/state laws that are effective in the 50 states.

WHAT IS A TRAC

Terminal rental adjustment clause motor vehicle leasing is now the most popular means of leasing cars and trucks to commercial (nonconsumer) endusers throughout the country.

While specific transactions vary,⁴ in general a TRAC clause permits (or requires) an upward or downward adjustment of rent to make up for any difference between the actual value of a vehicle that is deter-

mined at the end of the lease term (by sale to a third party, appraisal, or otherwise) and the originally projected residual value of the vehicle. (This value is determined from a schedule of estimates, made at the start of the lease, looking forward in time and estimating what the vehicle's value will be at various times in the future when the vehicle can be returned.)

value of the vehicle at the about one year, a typical TRAC of the vehicle at the end of the mental rental payment is made wholesale auction. A suppledetermined, usually by sale at end of the lease term is then the lease term ends. The actua a month-by-month basis, until or extended by the lessee, on vehicle lease can be renewed After a minimum lease term of ence between the actual value by the lessor to reflect the differ by the lessee or a credit given When the vehicle is returned, the lessee returns the vehicle.

lease term, and the earlier originally projected estimate (made at the start of the lease, looking forward into the future) as to what the vehicle's value would be at the end of the lease term.

The objective of TRAC vehicle leases is to provide a financia incentive for the lessee/user, who is the party to the transaction best able to control the maintenance of the vehicle, to keep the vehicle in good repair. TRAC vehicle lessees like this form of commercial lease because of its cost savings and efficiency.⁵ They create the continuing popular demand for TRAC mator vehicle leasing in the marketplace

TRAC VEHICLE LEASES: "TRUE LEASES" UNDER ALL STATE LAWS

Over the past 20 years, all 50 states and the District of Columbia have clarified the earlier

split-case law by enacting a state statute that safeguards TRAC vehicle leasing. Enactment of these TRAC/state laws was accomplished with the support of many parties, including both commercial lessors and lessees of cars and trucks, state bar organizations, state banking organizations, and the Uniform Law Commission, which sponsors the Uniform Commercial Code (UCC).

The impact of these TRAC/ state laws facilitates commerce by ensuring that state law that affects billions of dollars of vehicle-borne interstate commerce is the same (uniform and predictable) throughout the United States.

TRAC/state laws make it clear that TRAC vehicle leases are true leases (not "sales" or "security interests") for state law purposes. This simplifies and clarifies the law, accords with the weight and trend of court decisions, and establishes that TRAC vehicle leases should be treated like all other equipment leases are treated in the law.

TRAC/state laws have been cited by the courts as supporting

whether TRAC vehicle leases where the question could arise Project),8 and any other setting a toreclosure sale, before the advance notice to the lessee of issues (i.e., must the lessor give B.R. 56, 63-64 (Bk.N.D.N.Y. tor example, In re Owen, 22 i the true lease status of TRAC should be treated as sales or the Streamlined State Sales Tax judgment?), tax questions (e.g. lessor can recover a deficiency ing cases involving remedies in other contexts as well, includ helpful to TRAC vehicle lessors Chapter 11 bankruptcy. See, cases where the lessee is in vehicle leases in bankruptcy 1998).⁷ These state statutes are

TREK FOR TRACS

The origins of TRAC vehicle leases can be traced back at least as far as 1947, when PHH in Maryland (now Element Fleet Management) began marketing them.9 This style of leasing provided a revenue stream to support the bank loans that PHH needed to buy cars for its clients while limiting the lessee's charges to the actual cost of leasing (i.e., vehicle depreciation, plus interest on

lessee's balance sheet

across the board to all types

of equipment). 15 The scope of

TRAC leasing is limited by tax

PHH's bank loans, and a fee fo PHH's services). TRAC leasing also keeps the client in a position to control the costs of leasing. ¹⁰ And it eliminates disputes about who caused (and the amount of) vehicle damage.

offer TRAC leasing for fleets as a full-bore liability on the endnotes instead of being listec operating lease under SFAS in the fleet leasing industry. the "split-TRAC" vehicle lease 12 late 1976,11 Wheels introduced Statement No. 13 (SFAS 13) in Standards Board's issuance of to the Financial Accounting began to use the TRAC form of demand, other vehicle fleet of cars and trucks. In the early became the first company to Starting in 1948, PHH be explained in accountants' The split-TRAC qualified as an lease. Thereafter, in response leasing companies similarly 13, which meant that it could 1950s, responding to customer

To meet the growing customer demand, other corporate fleet lessors quickly began offering split-TRAC leases, which soon became the dominant form of leasing for commercial fleets of cars and trucks. ¹³

In the late 1970s and early
1980s, however, some courts
and Treasury/IRS rulings held
that because TRAC clauses
undercut the owner/lessor's
meaningful risk and reward in
the residual, TRAC leasing transactions were not true leases.

Federal tax law was amended
in 1983 to overrule Treasury/
f

clear that commercial TRAC validating TRAC vehicle leasshould be treated as true leases 2A—Leases (which applies trucks) instead of UCC Article scope is limited to cars and certificate of title laws (whose laws commonly appear in state This explains why TRAC/state to motor vehicles and trailers. the TRAC/state laws is limited ing, the scope and coverage of Mirroring the federal tax statute for tax purposes. use throughout the country, vehicle leases, in widespread U.S.C. §7701(h) to make it IRS objections, adding 26

now enacted TRAC/state laws that recognize the "true lease" validity of this important mainstream commercial practice. ¹⁶

CLDER SPLIT-CASE LAW SWEPT AWAY

TRAC/state laws resolve the earlier split-case law in favor of recognizing the true lease character of TRAC vehicle leas-

Enactment of these TRAC/state laws was accomplished with the support of many parties, including both commercial lessors and lessees of cars and trucks, state bar organizations, state trucking organizations, state banking organizations, and the Uniform Law Commission.

ing. Occasionally, a trustee in bankruptcy, hoping to overthrow the bargain originally struck by the parties to a TRAC lease in order to obtain more money for the bankrupt estate, may challenge the true lease character

law, in any event, to commercia (nonconsumer) leases of cars, trucks and trailers (not other types of equipment). The state legislatures of all 50 states and the District of Columbia have

of a TRAC vehicle lease. And sometimes practitioners fail to bring TRAC/state laws to the court's attention¹⁷ — a problem that continuing legal education programs, writeups and articles like this one may help to address.

TRAC/state laws provide that, for commercial leases of cars, trucks and trailers, the mere presence of a TRAC clause does not destroy true lease status or create a sale or security interest.

Other lingering criticisms leveled at the true lease character of TRAC vehicle leasing fail to come to grips with the fact that there are different kinds of TRAC clauses. ¹⁸ The split-TRAC vehicle lease — which has long been the norm in commercial vehicle fleet leasing — utilizes a TRAC clause that is limited, as distinct from the wide-open unlimited TRAC clauses that are used to help create "true sales" in securitization deals. A split-TRAC vehicle lease is a lease of that

gives the owner/lessor an entrepreneurial stake in the residual: that is, a minimum "at risk" stake in the vehicle (e.g., 20% of original cost) that is not subject to variation by the TRAC clause, and a maximum lease term that ensures that the lease does not use up the economic life of the vehicle.

a TRAC owner/lessor retains entailing both criminal and civil 49 U.S.C. §§32705-32709 for taxes, traffic tickets, and any significant contingent liabilities to other types of equipment), cles are involved (as opposed the fact that, where motor vehiand a security interest. 21 distinction between a true lease also passes muster as a true interest") under any sound present in a "sale" or "loan" or violation of odometer laws (see Also overlooked by critics is lease under UCC § 1-203, the common-law analysis, and it liabilities) — none of which are lease (not a "sale" or "security TRAC vehicle lease is a true UCC provision that sharpens the security interest."20 The split-

TRAC/state laws were intended to, and should, short-circuit all the old objections to the true lease character of split-TRAC

is a well-established commeris that TRAC vehicle leasing practice with recharacterization and efficiencies to commercial trucks. It provides cost savings commercially leased cars and which now covers millions of cial practice of long standing vehicle leases. The short of it stream commercial practices like disadvantageous state sales and in bankruptcy proceedings, is no good reason to disrupt ing popular demand for it. There lessees, who create the continu-TRAC vehicle leasing.²² to support and facilitate maincentral purpose of the UCC is penalties. To the contrary, the use tax treatment, or other legal this mainstream commercial

status of any equipment or vehiclause) will destroy true lease a transaction will be a true clause does not guarantee that It should be clear, however, that create a sale or security interest not destroy true lease status or presence of a TRAC clause does trucks and trailers, the mere for commercial leases of cars, TRAC/state laws provide that, cle "lease" — whether the trans independently of the TRAC \$1 purchase option (created lease. For example, a nomina the mere presence of a TRAC

action is analyzed under federal tax law, state commercial law, or accounting principles. This is why the courts still must examine "the facts of each case" (UCC § 1-203) to determine the true lease/sale question in cases involving TRAC vehicle leases.

TRAC/STATE LAWS EFFECTIVE IN THE 50 STATES

All the TRAC/state laws are listed below.

- Alabama. Code of Alabama §32-8-60.1 (effective July 29, 1991).
- 2. Alaska. Alaska Statutes §28.10.375 (applicable to transactions involving motor vehicles entered into on or after August 23, 1994).
- 3. **Arizona.** Arizona Revised Statutes §44-287 D (effective June 16, 1992).
- 4. Arkansas. Arkansas Code of 1987 §4-2A-110 (nonuniform amendment adding UCC 2A-110) (effective March 3, 1997).
- 5. California. CaliforniaCommercial Code §1203(c)[7] (nonuniform amendment adding a new subsection to the California Commercial Code

version of UCC 1-203) (covers commercial motor vehicles and states that "nothing in this paragraph affects the application or administration of the Sale and Use Tax Law") (effective January 1, 1996).

- 6. Colorado. Colorado Revised Statutes §42-6-120(3) (effective April 16, 1997).
- 7. **Connecticut.** Connecticut General Statutes §14-167a (Public Act 96-162) (effective October 1, 1996).
- 8. **Delaware**. 21 Delaware Code §2342 (effective July 3, 1996).
- District of Columbia. District of Columbia Statutes §50-1217 (2001 ed) (effective March 17, 1993).
- 10. **Florida.** Florida Statutes §319.271 (effective January 1, 1991).
- 11. **Georgia.** Georgia Code §40-3-60 (effective July 1, 1995).
- 12. **Hawaii.** Hawaii Revised Statutes §286-52.4 (effective April 16, 2003).
- 13. **Idaho.** Idaho Code §49-512A (effective July 1, 2004).

- 1'4. Illinois. Illinois Vehicle
 Code §3-201.1, 625 ILCS
 5/3-201.1 (effective January 1, 1992).
- 15. **Indiana.** Indiana Code §9-17-5-4 (effective July 1, 1995).
- 16. **lowa.** lowa Code §321.51 (effective July 1, 1995).
- 17. Kansas. Kansas Code §84-2a-110 (nonuniform amendment adding UCC §2A-110) (effective April 9, 1998).
- 18. **Kentucky.** Kentucky Revised Code §186A.191 (effective July 12, 2012).
- 19. **Louisiana.** Louisiana Revised Statutes §9:3317(A)(4), §9:3316 (A)(4), (effective July 13, 1985).
- 20. Maine. Maine Revised Statutes Annotated Title 10, chapter 209-A, §1305 (effective May 31, 1997).
- 21. **Maryland.** Maryland Code, Transportation, §13-211 (effective January 1, 1995).
- 22. Massachusetts. Massachusetts General Laws chapter 90D §21A (effective March 25 1996).
- 23. **Michigan.** Michigan Compiled laws §440.2810

(nonuniform amendment adding UCC 2A-110) (effective September 30, 1992).

(effective August 7, 1992).

- 24. Minnesota. Minnesota Statutes §168A.17.1a (effective May 18, 1989).
- 25. Mississippi. Mississippi Code §63-21-42 (effective July 1, 1994).
- 26. **Missouri**. Missouri Statutes §301.452 (effective September 19, 1991).
- 27. Montana. Montana Code §61-3-110 (effective October 1, 2003).
- 28. **Nebraska**. Nebraska Revised Statutes §60-164(5) (effective March 2, 2004).
- 29. **Nevada.** Nevada Revised Statutes §482.4215 (effective May 28, 2003).
- 30. **New Hampshire.** New Hampshire Revised Statutes §261:23-a (effective January 1, 1995).
- 31. New Jersey. New Jersey Statutes Ann. §39:10-5.1 (effective June 29, 1992).
- 32. New Mexico. New Mexico Statutes Ann. §66-3-101.1 (effective March 28, 2013).
- 33. **New York.** McKinney's Vehicle and Traffic Law §397-b

- 34. North Carolina. North Carolina General Statutes §25-2A-103(j) (nonuniform amendment to UCC 2A-103(j)) (effective July 15, 1994), clarified by North Carolina Genera Statutes §20-78.1 (effective June 23, 2011).
- 35. North Dakota. North Dakota Century Code §39-05-17.3 (effective July 1, 1993).
- 36. **Ohio.** Ohio Revised Code §4505.13(D) (effective November 6, 1992).
- 37. Oklahoma. Oklahoma Statutes Ann. §47-1110 F (effective January 1, 1992).
- 38. **Oregon.** Oregon Revised Statutes (Vehicle Title and Registration) §803.098 (effective August 16, 1993).
- 39. **Pennsylvania.** Pennsylvania Consolidated Statutes Ann. 75 Pa.C.S. §1139 (effective September 4, 1995) (covers commercial motor vehicles).
- 40. **Rhode Island.** Rhode Island General Laws §31-3.1-27 (effective July 1, 1991).
 41. **South Carolina.** South Carolina Code §56-19-720 (effective June 11, 1998).
- 42. **South Dakota**. South Dakota Codified Laws §32-3-

Through the combined efforts of

- 38.2 (effective March 19, 2003) (covers commercial motor vehicles).
- 43. Tennessee. Tennessee Code §47-2A-110 (nonuniform amendment adding UCC 2A-110) (effective July 1, 1994).
- 44. **Texas.** Texas Transportation Code Title 7 §501.112 (effective September 1, 1991).
- 45. Utah. Utah Code Ann. 1953 §41-1a-609 (states "the provisions of this section do not affect ... the calculation of sales and use tax") (effective May 5, 2003)
- 46. Vermont: 23 Vermont Statutes Ann. §2048 (effective July 1, 1993).
- 47. Virginia. Virginia Code
 Ann. §46.2-640.1 (effective
 January 1, 1992).

 48. Washington. Originally
 enacted in 1994 in Washingtor
 Revised Code §62A.1-201(37)
 (ff (2005) as a nonuniform
 amendment to UCC 1-201(37)
 (effective July 1, 1994), Washington State's TRAC/state law
 was inadvertently omitted from
 that State's statute books during
 statutory renumbering and
 updating of the UCC in 2012.

- §62A.1-203(c)(7), effective State of Washington, in West's lation was re-enacted in the Washington State Bankers Association, the Washington the Truck Rental and Leasing ing and Finance Association, Association, the Equipment Leas American Automotive Leasing the Washington State Bar, the 24, 2015 (nonuniform amend prospectively starting from July Revised Code of Washington Association, TRAC/state legis-Trucking Association, and the ment to UCC § 1-203).
- 49. West Virginia. West Virginia Code §17A-4A-16 (effective June 7, 1996).
 50. Wisconsin. Wisconsin States
- utes Ann. §342.03 (effective July 1, 1992).
 51. **Wyoming.** Wyoming Statutes 1977 §31-2-802 (effective July 1, 2003).
- TRAC/state laws are now the common, uniform state law of the United States. In seven states (Arkansas, California, Kansas, Michigan, North Carolina, Tennessee, and Washington), TRAC laws are in the UCC.²³
- Before enacting the TRAC/state laws, state legislatures were fully apprised of the earlier split-

The overwhelming majority of courts now properly recognize the true lease character of split-TRAC vehicle leases in widespread use throughout the United States.

state law all appear in the cle 2A Leases of Goods," 1993 case law and the sound policy Brokerage was specifically over a TRAC lease was a sale under the court erroneously held that tive history of North Carolina's 25 (2009) (noting the legislastate laws. 24 See, for example legislative history of the TRAC/ consequences of the TRAC/ placement, purposes, intent and 124-130 (spelling out the ratio ple, "New Developments: Artiruled by clarifying North Caro-North Carolina law. (Brankle (Bk.N.D.Ind. 2008),²⁵ where the court in Brankle Brokerage TRAC/state law, overlooked by 1187, 1189-1190 & nn.24, "Leases," 64 Business Lawyer Consequently, the statutory text, nale for the TRAC/state laws). Commercial Law Annual 115, TRAC/state law. See, for examreasons for enacting the model

lina legislation in 2011.) The Commissioners on Uniform State Laws supported the enactment of the TRAC/state laws.

The overwhelming majority of courts now properly recognize the true lease character of split-TRAC vehicle leases in widespread use throughout the United States.²⁶

Endnotes

1. See, e.g., In re Tulsa Port Warehouse Co., 690 F.2d 809 (10th Cir. 1982); Swift Dodge v. Commissioner, 692 F.2d 651 (9th Cir. 1982); Leslie Leasing Co. v. Commissioner, 80 T.C. 411 (1983); New Developments: Article 2A Leases of Goods, 1993 Commercial Law Annual 115, 124-130 (reviewing the split case law on whether TRAC vehicle leases are properly viewed as sales or as true leases).

is a lessor as opposed to a seller, one a lessor's rights under §365 of the Bank and third-party rights, whether a transacdies, whether UCC filings are required guished from sales for many purposes, 2. True leases long have been distin-For example, where a purported "lease' the lessor's and lessee's balance sheet") bankruptcy, in regard to federal, state tise §13-2 at 4 (4th ed. 1995) ("[1]f one ruptcy Code when the lessee goes into tion is covered by state usury laws, and including the commercial law of remelaws, and the difference even extends to and local taxes, and under state usury has different rights on default, on lessee Accord: 2 White & Summers, UCC Trea Law Annual 115, 117 (collecting cases) 2A Leases of Goods," 1993 Commercia bankruptcy. "New Developments: Article

> SW2d 187 (Tex 1990) (court recharac-Kinerd v. Colonial Leasing Co., 800 be barred from obtaining a deficiency is found to be a disguised security sale, and imposed penalties for usury or purchase option as a loan and secured terized "lease" transaction with nominal exempt from state usury laws. Compare leases, as opposed to disguised loans o A.2d 1219 (DC App 1990). True debtor as required by UCC §9-504(3). (debtor) if it failed to give notice to the interest, the "lessor" (secured party) may See, e.g., Fleming v. Carroll Pub. Co., judgment against a defaulting "lessee" "forebearances" of money, also may be

als. And if the "lease" is viewed as an v. Rash, 520 U.S. 953 (1997)), which protection" for the replacement value of a true lease, then the "lessor" in this situaas a "perfected security interest" and not B.R. 1 (Bk.S.D.N.Y. 2001); In re Furley's §365, if the "lease" transaction is a true ruptcy reorganization. See "Leasing Is Distinctivel," 35 UCC LJ. 15, 17 & n.8 ot "unpertected security interests," when who, in turn, are better off than holders ments of any kind, and sell it. See, e.g. equipment, without making current payin bankruptcy may be able to keep the amount needed to provide "adequate 2001). By contrast, if a "lease" is viewed lease. See, e.g., In re PSINet, Inc., 271 plified, true lessors are entitled to receive the lessee/debtor is in Chapter 11 bank holders of "perfected security interests" True lessors of vehicles fare better than may be only 60% to 80% of contract ren its collateral (see Associates Commercial tion is entitled to receive only the smaller Transport, Inc., 263 B.R. 733 (Bk.D.Md sess their equipment, under 11 U.S.C. full current rental payments, or to repos-(2003) (collecting authorities). Oversimunperfected security interest," the trustee

statutory definition of a lease, and federa bankruptcy law looks to state commercial law to define the difference between a true lease and a security interest. See, e.g., In re Pillowtex, 349 F.3d 711, 716 (3d Cir. 2003); "Leases," 65 Business Lawyer 1229, 1231 n.14 (2010); "Leases," 58 Business Lawyer 1567, 1569 n.11 (2003)

with a minimum "at risk" investment in TRAC operating leases leaving the lesson in remedies provisions that apply only lessee, but not both; TRAC-like provision: protects against residual value loss, or 638-641 (1988) (describing one-sided Article 2A-Leases," 39 Alab.L.Rev. 615 lease); "Old Wine in New Bottles: UCC TRAC clause throughout the term of the and split-TRAC operating leases in which Commercial Law Annual 115, 124-130 Article 2A Leases of Goods," 1993 4. See, e.g., "New Developments: the vehicle not subject to variation by the when the lessee is in default; and splitshares in residual value gains with the TRAC provisions in which the lessor eithe the lessor maintains a minimum "at risk" TRAC clauses in remedies provisions, (citing cases involving early termination) TRAC clause). nvestment not subject to variation by the

of vehicles conducted every month by credits and TRAC debits at the end of the be the most cost-effective way for many cle's realized end-of-lease sales price, United States. This way of determining variety of different locations across the Manheim and other auction houses at a turn-in, usually in wholesale auctions price (or appraisal) realized at vehicle lease term are determined by the sales lessee/end-users to finance the vehicles 5. TRAC vehicle leasing has proven to arise between lessors and lessees abou minimizes disputes that otherwise might that are essential to their business. TRAC TRAC credits/debits, tied to a vehi-

vehicle damage or excessive wear and tear.

6. See n.3 supra for an explanation of the differences in an owner/lessor's rights when the lessee is in Chapter 1 1 bankruptcy depending upon whether the "lease transaction" is viewed as a lease, a perfected security interest, or an unperfected security interest.

B.R. 291 (Bk.N.D.Ala. 2011) (same); Corp. v. Prestige Equpment Co., 925 In re MEPCO, Inc., 276 BR 94, 103 tectural Millwork of Virginia, 226 B.R. (Bk.E.D.Tenn. 2002) (same); In re Archi In re Damron, 275 B.R. 266, 270 216, 224 (Bk.D.Kan. 2002) (same); 2002) (same); In re Charles, 278 BR affirmed, 52 Fed.Appx 119 (10th Cir. Beckham, 275 B.R. 598, 606 (D.Kan.), G Trucking of the Arklatex, 432 B.R. (Bk.D.Kan. 2010) (same); In re Double In re HP Distribution, 436 B.R. 679 7. Accord: In re HP Logistics, 460 (surveying cases and authorities on TRAC (Bk.N.D.Okl. 1990); Gilbraltar Financial In re Otasco, 196 B.R. 554 (N.D.Ok) 551, 556 (Bk.W.D.Va. 1998) (same) 789 (Bk.W.D.Ark. 2010) (same); In re vehicle leases). ness Lawyer 1855, 1858-1859 (1999) 2003). See generally "Leases," 54 Busi-Rebel Rents, 291 B.R. 520 (Bk.C.D.Cal NE 2d 751, 757 (Ind.App. 2010); In re 1991), overruling 111 B.R. 976 Bk.W.D.Va. 2001) (same). See also

8. The Streamlined State Sales Tax Project (SSTP) was organized in March 2000 to simplify and modernize state systems for collecting and administering sales and use taxes. Those systems often distinguish between sales and leases of equipment. The SSTP was dissolved once the Streamlined Sales and Use Tax Agreement (SSUTA) became effective October 1, 2005. Twenty-four states have passed legislation to conform to the SSUTA. See

809 (10th Cir. 1982). There is no federal

Tulsa Port Warehouse Co., 690 F.2d

on the streamlined sales tax) www.streamlinedslaestax.org (information

- preneurs: Duane L. Peterson, Harley W was founded in 1946 by three entre-9. Peterson, Howell & Heather (PHH) became Element Fleet Management in Howell and Richard M. Heather, PHH
- Counsel of Element Fleet Management in Sparks, Maryland (August 17, 2015). son, Senior Vice President and General leasing. Email interview with Paul Danielagement, on the creation of TRAC vehicle memoirs, now kept by Element Fleet Man-10. This account reflects Harley Howell's
- Standards No. 13, Accounting for Leases Board, Statement of Financial Accounting 11. Financial Accounting Standards
- of the vehicle. For example, while TRAC a split-TRAC lease may provide, provisions vary, a typical TRAC clause in lease does not use up the economic life maximum lease term that ensures that the the TRAC clause, and that contain a of original cost) that is not subject to other things) give the owner a minimum and federal tax Code §7701(h), are to comply with accounting standards "at risk" stake in the vehicles (e.g., 20% "splitTRAC" operating leases that (among 12. Typical TRAC vehicle leases, written

TERMINAL RENTAL ADJUSTMENT

that the enhancement or reduction in during its Lease Term, the parties agree tain the value of the Vehicle by good value shall be compensated as follows: maintenance, repair and careful use As an incentive to the Lessee to main-

Refund of Rental. If the Net Proceeds exceed the Book Value amortization, the Lessor shall retain Schedule, reduced by appropriate ized Cost as defined in the Rate (as to each Vehicle), its Capital-

> an amount equal to the Book Value, as a retund of rental and remit the excess to the Lessee

Rental Charge. If the Net Proceeds the Book Value as of the end of the teed Residual is 20% of the Capital and the Book Value. The Guaranto the amount of the difference the Guaranteed Residual (defined amount of the difference. However, Lessee shall pay the Lessor the are less than the Book Value, the prior month. Lease Term and thereafter, 20% of ized Cost at the end of the minimum between the Guaranteed Residual below), this rental charge is limited the Net Proceeds are less than

A typical maximum lease term in a splii TRAC lease may provide, for example,

any other Exhibit to this Master Lease maximum lease term is set forth on ninely-six (96) months for medium and in no event shall the lease term for any vehicle Lease Term extend beyond fifty Vehicle is provided to Lessor. However for each Vehicle on a month-to-month elected to renew the Lease Agreemen is 367 days starting the date of the LEASE TERM. The noncancelable Agreememt." heavy duty trucks, unless a different ty-two (72) months for light trucks, and (50) months for automobiles, sevenbasis unless notice of surrender of such Lessee's acceptance. Thereafter, the minimum Lease Term for each Vehicle Lessee shall be deemed to have

Des Plaines, Illinois (August 17, 2015) Chief Executive Officer of Wheels Inc. in Email interview with James S. Frank

Leasing Co. v. Commissioner, 80 T.C. 692 F.2d 651 (9th Cir. 1982); and Leslie house Co., 690 F.2d 809 (10th Cir. 14. See, e.g., In re Tulsa Port Ware-1982); Swift Dodge v. Commissioner,

in the residual for the lessor. See, e.g.,

vehicle lease in common use does

Article 2A Leases of Goods," 1993 id. 638-641; "New Developments:

whole, lessees will have more market at the outset of the transaction, plans all other things being equal, one might to dispose of them. * * * Ordinarily, of a true lease — the lessor's meaningful at 626 ("The old common law touchstone 411 (1983). There are important reasons they wish to use when the law recognizes meaningful information about the goods place choices and will receive more from the perspective of the economy as a never to deal with the residual. Viewed under a disguised sale where the seller, expect rental payments under a true get back the goods or otherwise have some legitimate possibility that he may value of the leased goods, since there is efficiency, durability, and long-term matter of economic self-interest, a true Article 2A-Leases."), and at 632 ("As a residual interest — is reflected in [UCC] 21-22 (Winter 2003); "Old Wine in Leasing Is Distinctive! 35 UCC U 15, stone of the definition of a true lease. See meaningful residual interest as the touchwhy, as a general proposition, the the substantive economic differences lease to be lower than periodic payments lessor cares about the quality, energy New Bottles," 39 Ala.L.Rev. 615 (1988) common law should preserve the lessor's

of long standing that has developed and is a well-established commercial practice the residual."). Yet TRAC vehicle leasing essential difference between the two is preserve a meaningful economic interes interstate commerce; and the split-TRAC lowers lease rental rates and facilitates America, covering millions of vehicles; it real, economically meaningful interest in between a true lease and a sale. One to be original."), id. at 1351 (in drafting to change the habits of the business which is designed to clarify the law of draftsmen of general commercial e.g., Gilmore, "On the Difficulties of community — are to be accurate and not about business transactions rather than legislation – by which I mean legislation practices like TRAC vehicle leasing. See been made to conform the law to current UCC Article 2-sales, "a notable effort has Codifying Commercial Law, " 57 Yale ong-standing mainstream commercial dated and accepted (rather than upset Traditionally, the UCC has accomme .J. 1341 (1957) ("The principal objects

form of commercial vehicle leasing in grown so that today it is the dominant that the lessor in a true lease retains a

and spelling out the policy rationale for the common law and UCC §1-203). use are true leases under the principles of 4-5 infra (split-TRAC leases in widespread enacting TRAC/state statutes); and pp. (canvassing the conflicting case law Commercial Law Annual 115, 129-130

and replaced with the newest, multiin 2012. This happened because, wher manner, and it had to go to the trouble of is revised or updated in this fashion. all of the old articles are often deleted during a statutory renumbering exercise But that law was inadvertently repealed first enacted a TRAC/state law in 1994 every 5 to 10 years). Washington State subject to being "wiped out" whenever in the middle of the UCC, where they are in a state's certificate of title laws and not re-enacting a TRAC/state law in 2015. state law inadvertently wiped out in this Washington state had its 1994 TRAC, the wayside whenever a UCC article legislative efforts are made to preserve hundred-page versions. Unless special UCC articles are revised or updated, the UCC is periodically updated (once the TRAC/state laws are best positioned 'non-uniform" amendments, those fall by Illustrates another, additional reason why 15. Washington state's recent experience

Barkley Clark & Louis Del Duca, "Hot Topics in Secured Transactions," 1993 which was first published as a proposed is older than the Uniform Commercial of cars and trucks, starting in the 1940s, Code in the early 1950s (see, e.g., Code (UCC) drafted by Karl Llewellyn, business practice"). TRAC vehicle leasing

Commercial Law Annual 501, 502 n.4)

of the TRAC/state statutes enacted in parties nor the Court makes any mention 1105 (2011), because neither the by "Leases," 66 Business Lawyer 1101 every state). 2010 WL 5141760 (Bk.M.D.N.C. the case involved vehicles); In re Lash, the parties or the Court; unclear whether (TRAC/state statutes never mentioned by Co., 319 B.R. 698 (Bk.M.D.Fla. 2005) 2010) (criticized as wrongly decided See, e.g., In re Grubbs Construction

e.g., in re Marhoefer, 674 F.2d 1139 a death knell for true lease status. See, \$1 purchase option arose). continue the lease for eight years until the true lease despite \$1 purchase option that any kind of \$1 purchase option is mote entity. But there are different kinds of order to transfer assets to a bankruptcy-rearisen about TRAC vehicle leases may because lessee was not obligated to as wrong as the simplistic, mistaken view TRAC clause destroys true lease status is where one must have a "true sale" in be that, historically, equipment lessors (7th Cir. 1981) (court holds transaction a The overly simplistic view that any kind of TRAC clauses: they are not all the same. This is important in securitization deals, provisions to destroy "true lease" status unbounded TRAC clauses and other have used open-ended, double-edged 18. One reason why disputes have

tation that the goods will be returned to and enjoyment of goods, with an expec ment for the temporary possession, use 19. In general: "A lease involves pay-

n.2 (6th ed. 2015). and limited to the remaining secured an inchaate interest contingent on default goods, while a security interest is only value remaining at the end of the lease the owner with some expected residual form Commercial Code Treatise § 15:2 debt." White, Summers & Hillman, Uniunconditional transfer of absolute title to term. In contrast, a sale involves an

the owner/lessor's contingent liability tor action is a true lease, based in part on holds lease in a sale-leaseback trans-States, 435 U.S. 561 (1978) (court 20. Compare Frank Lyon v. United

aged leases). 715 (checklist for IRS rulings on leverold IRS Rev. Proc. 75-21, 1975-1 C.B. Guidelines for issuing advance rulings on stringent safe harbor standards in the IRS and liberalizes the overly restrictive, is designed to serve the long-term public and analysis of the sharpened true lease at 628-632 (1988) (summary, history in New Bottles," supra, 39 Ala.L.Rev. creativity and competition in creating of corn flakes (where the only competiment leasing into a commodity like a box equipment leasing and making equip-28, 2001-1 C.B. 1156, incorporating true lease that reflects mainstream case creativity and competition. UCC § 1-203 interest of the Nation by preserving designed to answer all questions about UCC §1-201(37)). The statute was not definition in UCC § 1-203, formerly new lease products. See "Old Wine SFAS 13), to avoid "dumbing down" § 1-203 is to reject mathematical 21. The overall philosophy of UCC leveraged leases in IRS Rev.Proc. 2001law, overrules earlier unsound cases, sets torth a common law definition of a what is a true lease; instead, the statute tion is on price) and to leave room for percentages and tormulas (like those in

> Law," supra n. 16. the Difficulties of Codifying Commercial agreement of the parties"); Gilmore, "Or commercial transactions; [and] to permit clarify and modernize the law governing 22. See, e.g., UCC § 1-103 (stating practices through custom, usage and the continued expansion of commercial UCC's central purposes: "to simplify,

above in the text certificate of title laws in 2011, as notec state law, enacted in the state's UCC in 23. The original North Carolina TRAC, 1994, was clarified in North Carolina's

Ave. N.W., Suite 200, Washington, DC Edwin E. Huddleson, 1250 Connecticut available from AALA General Counsel 24. The statutory text and legislative aol.com; www.edwinhuddleson.com. 20036; (202) 543-2233; huddlesone@ history of all the TRAC/state laws are

906 (Bk.N.D.Ind. 2008). 25. In re Brankle Brokerage, 394 B.R.

for the TRAC/state laws)) case law and spelling out the rationale unencumbered by any knowledge of Goods," 1993 Commercial Law Annua cial law (see, e.g., UCC § 1-103; "New basic public policy purposes of commer-State Laws for TRAC/state laws; or the support of the Commissioners on Uniform rationale of the TRAC/state laws; or the TRAC leases; or the history, purposes and TRAC vehicle leasing or common splited. 2014) (misguided attack on the true (lan Schrank & Arnold G. Gough eds, 5th #9 September 2014), in 1 Equipment ing Law: UCC Articles 1,2A, and 9 (Rel and compare Strauss, General Govern-(collecting cases on TRAC vehicle leases Lawyer 1245, 1248-1249 (2012) 26. See, e.g., court decisions listed in 115, 124-130 (canvassing earlier split Developments: Article 2A Leases of lease character of TRAC vehicle leases, Leasing-Leveraged Leasing §2:1.4[C] footnote 7 supra; Leases, 67 Business



Mowin M. Tuddleson

www.edwinhuddleson.com huddlesone@aol.com

D.C.-based lawyer with a general civil Edwin E. Huddleson is a Washington,

M. Merrill (USCIApp 9th Circuit). work on the law review. He was law clerk to Judge Charles counsel to the American Automotive Leasing Association. D.C., Maryland, and New York. In addition, he is general and served on the drafting committee revising UCC Article drafting UCC Article 2A-Leases (1987, 1990, and 2003) NYS2d 169 (2008) as well as before Congress, the U.S. Bar Administrative Law Section, the bar's 2015 section of the which are available online. Twice he has co-chaired the D.C counsel to the D.C. Public Service Commission. In 1977, Mr tional and administrative law. He has a wide-ranging private and equipment leasing; complex civil litigation, and constitupractice dating back to 1977, including commercial, vehicle Law School, where he received the Casper Platt Award for his University (California) and his J.D. from University of Chicago belongs to the bar associations of California, Washington, tion (equipment leasing) and the American Law Institute, and 5-Letters of Credit. He is active in the American Bar Associaleasing industries in court (e.g., Graham vs. Dunkley, 852 Huddleson originated the ongoing Harold Leventhal lectures, practice including Silicon Valley companies, and he is outside Mr. Huddleson earned his bachelor's degree from Stanford tederal agencies, and state legislatures. He was involved in year. His practice has represented the vehicle and equipment Treasury and IRS, the Environmental Protection Agency, other